Evangelical New Testament scholars (and their students) seem to be divided into two main camps: those who emphasize Jesus and the kingdom, and those who focus on Paul and justification by faith. While this seems to be a knotty problem, unprecedented in church history, such theological turf wars are all too common. As Paul disapprovingly told the Corinthians, “One of you says, ‘I follow Paul’; another, ‘I follow Apollos’; another, ‘I follow Cephas’; still another, ‘I follow Christ.’ Is Christ divided? Was Paul crucified for you? Were you baptized into the name of Paul?” (1 Cor. 1:12–13). For Paul, it’s all about Jesus.

So how do we bring Jesus and Paul together, while doing justice to both? How do we hold to the doctrine of the full inspiration of Scripture, allowing Paul and Jesus to speak for themselves rather than fitting them into our preconceived theological categories? Which gets priority? Which comes first—kingdom or justification? New Testament scholar Scot McKnight says the answer is not either/or, but both/and.


**Based on:** “Jesus vs. Paul,” Christianity Today, December 2010
HOW TO USE THIS RESOURCE FOR A GROUP STUDY

This Bible study can be used for an individual or a group. If you intend to lead a group study, follow these simple suggestions.

1. Make enough copies of the article for everyone in the group. If you would like your group to have more information, feel free to copy the leader’s guide for them as well.

2. Don’t feel that you have to use all the material in the study. Almost all of our studies have more information than you can get through in one session, so feel free to pick and choose the teaching information and questions that will meet the needs of your group. Use the teaching content of the study in any of these ways: for your own background and information; to read aloud (or summarize) to the group; for the group to read silently.

3. Make sure your group agrees to complete confidentiality. This is essential to getting people to open up.

4. When working through the questions, be willing to make yourself vulnerable. It’s important for your group to know that others share their experiences. Make honesty and openness a priority in your group.

5. Begin and end the session in prayer.
Part 1  **IDENTIFY THE CURRENT ISSUE**

*Note to leader: Provide each person with the article “Jesus vs. Paul” from Christianity Today, included at the end of this study.*

At the start of Scot McKnight’s journey of faith, he believed the essence of the Christian message was justification by faith as taught by Paul. “I grew up with, on, through, and in the apostle Paul,” McKnight says. “His letters were the heart of our Bible. From the time I began paying attention to my pastor’s sermons, I can only recall sermons on 1 Corinthians—the whole book verse by verse, week by week—and Ephesians. I don’t recall a series on any of the Gospels or on Jesus.”

Yet eventually McKnight became a New Testament scholar and was taken with Jesus and his message of the kingdom. Like many others before and after, McKnight struggled to combine the messages of Paul and Jesus into a cohesive whole. Jesus spoke much of the kingdom, while Paul was comparatively silent about it. And Jesus said little about justification by faith, while Paul majored on it. Since evangelicals believe the Bible does not contradict itself, the question is how to understand the Christian message—and live it.

**Discussion Starters:**

- [Q] What kind of Christian are you? Do you focus mainly on the message of Jesus and the kingdom, or of Paul and faith?
- [Q] Sum up the gospel in your own words.
- [Q] How do you handle apparent contradictions in the Bible?
- [Q] Which biblical figure does your church focus most on, Jesus or Paul?

Part 2  **DISCOVER THE ETERNAL PRINCIPLES**

**Teaching Point One: It’s all about the gospel.**

The Jesus vs. Paul debate has spread to the wider church. “My experience is not unusual,” McKnight says. “Many of us have made a move from Paul to Jesus, and an increasing tension remains among evangelicals about who gets to set the terms: Jesus or Paul? In other words, will we center our gospel teaching and living on ‘the kingdom’ or ‘justification by faith’?” It’s a dispute over personalities that has a long history in the church. The apostle Paul had to deal with it in the Corinthian church, and his solution is simple: the gospel is all about Jesus.
Read 1 Corinthians 1:10–17.

[Q] In verse 10, list the words the apostle uses that promote unity (“brothers . . . agree with one another . . . no divisions among you . . . perfectly united in mind and thought”). Why is unity so important?

[Q] Paul tells of hearing about quarrels over factions (vv. 11–12). What were people saying? What is the problem with this?

[Q] In verse 13, Paul asks a series of questions, facetiously comparing himself with Christ. Why?

[Q] Paul expands on the theme of baptism, commanded by Christ as a sign of our need and unity (14–17a), saying it is a secondary issue. The Corinthians apparently were placing too much emphasis on the baptizer, rather than the One into whose name we are baptized. What are some other secondary issues that distract us from our basic unity in Christ?

[Q] Paul says the key is the gospel, and preaching the gospel (17b). What results if we don’t focus on the gospel?

Optional Activity: As a group, make a list of key Christian leaders, writers, and pastors. Beside their names, list their distinctive emphases. Discuss how these emphases differ one from another. Then examine what they share in common. Are any of the differences worth arguing about? If so, how do we argue without losing our unity?

Teaching Point Two: The gospel of the kingdom requires words and deeds.

McKnight says the kingdom teaching of Jesus and the justification language of Paul are hard to harmonize. “You can’t begin at kingdom with Jesus and simply cross the path and conclude that Jesus was, after all, talking about justification,” McKnight says. “What devastates this approach is that some of the central themes of kingdom for Jesus—which are all found in those crucial passages in Luke, such as the opening sermon in Nazareth (4:16–30)—are not found in Paul. Yes, Paul does care for the poor—of Jerusalem, at least. But caring for the poor and the outcast, and a revolutionary message about possessions—well, they just don’t show up enough in Paul to lead one fairly to conclude that Paul was essentially teaching the same thing as Jesus. Kingdom and justification are not the same thing. We have to find a better way to harmonize Jesus and Paul.” One way to do so is to see what Jesus really said about the kingdom.

Kingdom-focused theologians look to this section as an announcement of the kingdom by Jesus. Here Jesus links his ministry with a prominent Old Testament passage in Isaiah. How well do we “New Testament” Christians know the Hebrew Scriptures—and why is it important to know both Testaments?

- What does that knowledge do for our understanding of the kingdom?

List the verbs in verses 18–19. How many have to do with words, and how many with deeds? How do they work together?

- Might some of the physical conditions (imprisoned, blind, oppressed) also have spiritual applications? Defend your answer with other Scriptures.

Jesus says he fulfills the passage from Isaiah (vv. 20–21). What does this tell us about the unity of Scripture? About the relationship of words and deeds?

How does the “good news” (v. 18) relate to ministries of compassion that characterize the kingdom focus?

**Teaching Point Three: The gospel is about Jesus, who is the completion of Israel’s story.**

Read 1 Corinthians 15:1–8.

As in Luke 4:16–21, here Jesus is presented as the completion of Israel’s story. McKnight says, “… the essence of the gospel is the story of Jesus (vv. 3–8) as the completion of Israel’s story (v. 3). Both the word Christ (Messiah) and the phrase ‘according to the Scriptures’ are central to how the apostles understood the word gospel. … The gospel is first and foremost about Jesus. Or, to put it theologically, it’s about Christology. Behind or underneath both kingdom and justification is the gospel, and the gospel is the saving story of Jesus that completes Israel’s story. ‘To gospel’ is to tell a story about Jesus as the Messiah, as the Lord, as the Son of God, as the Savior.” Let’s take a closer look at this key passage.

In verses 1–2, Paul reminds the Corinthian believers about the gospel he preached. “Gospel,” of course, means “good news.” Why must news be preached? Why can’t it simply be demonstrated?

- How does the gospel save us?

In verses 3–8, Paul lists what is of “first importance” in the gospel. List those elements.

- Why does Paul say “according to the Scriptures” not once, but twice?
• Can you find the Old Testament references? Use a Bible with Scripture references to track these down.

[Q] All these elements share Jesus as their subject. Why is what Jesus did of “first importance”?

• How does this fact link the concepts of kingdom and justification?

[Q] Paul denies his own priority, and in fact puts himself last (v. 8). How should his attitude temper our arguments about kingdom vs. justification, if we must argue?

Teaching Point Four: Paul’s gospel is Jesus’ gospel.

Did Jesus preach Paul’s gospel? Perhaps we should also ask the reverse: Did Paul preach Jesus’ gospel? The following passage shows that he did, for it shows how Jesus reached out to Paul on the road to Damascus, stopped him in his tracks, and gave him a new message that centered on himself.


[Q] In verses 1–4, Saul (his preconversion name) is on his way to persecute the fledgling church. List the elements of his plan. What happens to stop him? Which of his senses are involved? Why?

[Q] In verses 5 and 6, we overhear a brief dialogue. What does Paul ask, and what is the Lord’s answer? What is Paul told to do? What was his response (vv. 7–9)?

• Have you ever been brought to the end of yourself as Paul was?

[Q] The Lord engages in another dialogue (vv. 10–16). How does Ananias see Paul? How does the Lord see him?

[Q] What is Paul’s task (vv. 15–16), and what does this have to do with the kingdom?

[Q] Paul is healed and sent on his mission (vv. 17–20). What is the core of his message? What does this have to do with the kingdom? Is there any conflict here? Why or why not?

Teaching Point Five: The gospel of the kingdom is for all people.

Peter, a confirmed, ethnocentric Jew, thought the good news of the kingdom was only for the Jews—until the Lord turned him around. In the following passage, Peter recounts his conversion in thinking about the “good news of peace through Jesus Christ, who is Lord of all” (36b).
Read Acts 10:34–43.

[Q] What does Peter say in verses 34–35 that God does and does not do, and what does this say about the extent of the kingdom?

[Q] How does Peter sum up the ministry of Jesus (vv. 36–38)? What elements do we see in it?

[Q] What were Jesus’ disciples, including Peter, told to do (v. 42)?
  • What is the message they are to share?
  • Does this differ from Paul's gospel? If so how?

Part 3 APPLY YOUR FINDINGS

We have seen that Christians argue over whether Paul and justification or Jesus and the kingdom represent the core of the faith. McKnight argues that Jesus is the core, but it is the gospel—not justification or the kingdom—that is primary for him. This study shows that the gospel is primary, it involves word and deed, it is about Jesus, it is one gospel, and it is for all people. “Every time we talk about Jesus, we are gospeling,” McKnight says. “Telling others about Jesus leads to both the kingdom and justification—but only if we begin with Jesus.” So let us introduce people to the King; the kingdom won’t be far behind.

Action Point: If you are kingdom-oriented, go with a group of evangelists and share your faith verbally. If you are more evangelistically minded, volunteer at a soup kitchen, a Habitat for Humanity project, or some other hands-on ministry. Either way, keep the focus on Jesus. Write down what you learn.

RECOMMENDED RESOURCES

- **Christ: The Center of the Gospel**: Unless we clearly understand Christ and his mission, we miss the core of Christianity. The center of the gospel is Jesus Christ. But what does that mean? This 5-session study hopes to help answer that question. Each study is based on a Christianity Today article. Includes “The Gospel Defined,” listed below.

- **Do You Have a Stingy Gospel?**: How did the characteristics of hatred and fear come to be associated with evangelical believers? Perhaps it can be traced back to our theology, Richard J. Mouw, President of Fuller Theological Seminary, suggests in a Christianity Today article. He writes, “We all have to decide . . . whether we have a generous God or a stingy God. . . . We evangelicals often give the impression that we have decided to be a spiritually stingy people.” This study explores what it means to hold on to both truth and love in our interactions with the world.

- **The Gospel Defined**: The gospel of moralistic therapeutic deism is running rampant in the church. In an interview with Mark Galli, theologian Michael Horton says we are tempted to live a Christless Christianity because we are human-centered rather than God-centered. The gospel is not a matter of doing the right things or trying harder. Horton says, “The gospel isn’t ‘Follow Jesus’ example’ or ‘Transform your life’ or ‘How to raise good children.’ The gospel is: Jesus Christ came to save sinners—even bad parents, even lousy followers of Jesus, which we all are on our best days.”

- **Is Our Gospel Too Small?**: Six Bible studies from the Christian Vision Project pose the question of our modern American gospel message: is it too small? In an age of consumerism, are we trying to “sell” Jesus? Are we embracing the whole Bible or just select parts of it? And is our faith real and deep enough that we can share it with others—simply, creatively, genuinely?

- **The Gospel in Genesis**: Our world is broken. This is clear from the pages of the Bible, the wars between nations, and the grief of our own hearts. Genesis reveals an intimate and faithful God, who offers redemption and new life from the moment of sin—in the form of Jesus Christ. This 6-session study examines the patterns of sin in Genesis and our lives, but also the redemptive love that awaits us if we accept God’s grace.
The Jesus Creed: Loving God, Loving Others, by Scot McKnight (Paraclete Press, 2004). McKnight explores how Jesus connected the ancient Jewish commandments to “love the Lord your God with all your heart, soul and strength” and to “love your neighbor as yourself” in order to create a creed that transforms his disciples’ lives.

Thy Kingdom Come, by J. Dwight Pentecost (Kregel, 1995). How do interpretations of the “Kingdom of God” differ? How can we distinguish the various covenants of the Old Testament? How does the church relate to the covenants made with Israel? What will the future kingdom be like? Pentecost traces the history of the kingdom program and its relationship to the development of the covenant promises.

The Prodigal God: Recovering the Heart of the Christian Faith, by Timothy Keller (Penguin Putnam 2008). Keller uncovers an unexpected message in the familiar New Testament parable of the prodigal son. Seekers and believers alike will see Christianity in a whole new way when they learn how Christ’s followers are supposed to love, so they can join him in heaven.

The Gospel Coalition seeks to define, defend, and explain “the gospel for all of life”; http://thegospelcoalition.org.
Jesus vs. Paul
Many biblical scholars and lay Christians have noted that Jesus preached almost exclusively about the kingdom of heaven, while Paul highlighted justification by faith—and not vice versa. Some conclude that they preached two different gospels. Others argue that really they both preached justification; still others say it’s all about the kingdom. What gives? By Scot McKnight
I grew up with, on, through, and in the apostle Paul. His letters were the heart of our Bible. From the time I began paying attention to my pastor’s sermons, I can only recall sermons on 1 Corinthians—the whole book verse by verse, week by week—and Ephesians. I don’t recall a series on any of the Gospels or on Jesus.

There were two annual exceptions to our Pauline focus. At Christmas, we heard a sermon on one of the narratives about Jesus’ birth, and during Holy Week, we got something on Jesus’ death and resurrection. We were Pauline Christians and not one bit worried about it. I learned to think and believe and live in a Pauline fashion. Everything was filtered through Paul’s theology. Justification was the lens for the gospel, and “life in the Spirit,” the lens for Christian living.

Then I went off to Bible college (now Cornerstone University in Grand Rapids, Michigan) and majored in history while taking as many Bible courses as I could. Once again, Paul featured prominently. My senior year, I read the first volume of Ralph Martin’s New Testament Foundations series and was taken with the freshness of the Gospels. But nothing overwhelmed me like my first experience in seminary. Sitting in Walter Liefeld’s synoptic Gospels course at Trinity Evangelical Divinity School, I was absolutely mesmerized by Jesus, his kingdom vision, and the Gospels. I decided then and there that my life’s pursuit would be Jesus and the Gospels.

A few years later, I began doctoral work on the Gospel of Matthew, and a few years after that began teaching as a young professor at Trinity, where I even got to teach Jesus and the Gospels. I spoke so often about Jesus’ teachings that one student quipped that I needed to give a lecture called “Jesus’ View of Jesus,” since I had covered Jesus’ view on everything but Jesus!

Something was clearly happening to me. Formerly I had loved Paul and thought with Paul. Then, when I encountered Jesus, as if for the first time, I began learning to think with Jesus. One of my colleagues occasionally suggested I was getting too Jesus-centered and ignoring Paul. I’m not so sure I was ignoring Paul; after all, I was teaching a few of his letters on a regular basis. But I had unlearned how to think in Pauline terms and was thinking only in the terms of Jesus. Everything was kingdom-centered for me.

And, truth be told, I was so taken with Jesus’ kingdom vision that reading Paul created a dilemma every time I opened his letters.

Evangelicalism is facing a crisis about the relationship of Jesus to Paul, and many today are choosing sides.

AN EVANGELICAL CRISIS

My experience is not unusual. Many of us have made a move from Paul to Jesus, and an increasing tension remains among evangelicals about who gets to set the terms: Jesus or Paul? In other words, will we center our gospel teaching and living on “the kingdom” or “justification by faith”?

The choice matters. It can be said without exaggeration that the evangelical movement owes its fundamental strength to the Reformation and the Great Awakenings and revivals of the 18th and 19th centuries; that is, it is a Paul-shaped movement through and through. The early 20th century arrival of the social gospel, which seemed to link “kingdom” with “liberal” and “justice,” made the Pauline emphasis within the evangelical movement more pronounced. Furthermore, when some evangelicals recently rediscovered Jesus’ kingdom vision, they were frequently warned that they were on the verge of falling for a social gospel.

But something has happened in the past two decades: a subtle but unmistakable shift among many evangelicals from a Pauline-centered theology to a Jesus-shaped kingdom vision. Sources for this shift surely include George Eldon Ladd’s The Presence of the Future, the rugged and unrelenting justice voice of Jim Wallis, perhaps most notably in his Call to Conversion, and a growing social conscience among evangelicals. We can argue about factors, but what matters is that a shift has occurred.

Daniel Kirk, a young New Testament scholar at one of Fuller’s extension sites, recently sent me a manuscript for review. The first suggested title was, Jesus Have I Loved, But Paul? That perfectly captures something I have observed in 15 years of teaching college students. Students love the Jesus part of the class, but their eyes seem to glaze over when we move from Jesus to Paul.

It is not exaggerating to say that evangelicism is facing a crisis about the relationship of Jesus to Paul, and that many today are choosing sides. I meet many young, thinking evangelicals whose “first language” is Jesus and the kingdom. Yet despite the trend, perhaps in reaction to it, many look to Paul and justification by faith as their first language. Those addicted to kingdom language struggle to make Paul fit, while those addicted to Paul’s theological terms struggle to make Jesus fit. I know the experience because I, too, struggled to make the Pauline message fit the kingdom vision, and that was after struggling to make Jesus fit into the Pauline message.

TWO APPROACHES

Evangelicals have offered two ways to resolve this dilemma—that is, to bring Paul and Jesus into a more perfect harmony. What stands out is that each approach imagines that it is articulating the gospel itself. One approach is to master Jesus’ gospel, the kingdom vision, and show how Paul fits. The other approach is to master Paul’s gospel, his theology of justification, and show how Jesus fits. Each approach requires some bending of corners and squeezing of sides but, with extra effort and
some special explanations, each thinks it can show the unity
of the messages of Jesus and Paul and that the gospel of the
kingdom and the gospel of justification are one and the same.

Take the Jesus approach. The kingdom of God, if one fol-
lows George Ladd’s line of thinking (often called “inaugurated
eschatology”), is defined as the “dynamic reign of God.” It is
grounded in texts like Matthew 12:28, where Jesus says that if
he casts out demons by the Spirit of God, then the kingdom of
God has (presently) come upon them. Or Mark 1:15: the time has
been fulfilled, the king-
dom of God has drawn
near (so near that its
presence is now being
felt)—therefore repent
and believe. It is not
hard to fit “justification
by faith” into the mold
of the dynamic, personal, redemptive presence of God in the
work of Jesus Christ. With some careful nuancing, the witness
of Romans to justification and the witness of Ephesians to a
cosmic redemption in Christ can be drawn into the ambit of
the kingdom.

But a few problems always emerge. They have always given
me an uneasy conscience about this kind of harmonizing. First,
Paul doesn’t talk about the kingdom enough to make me think
his theology is really kingdom-shaped. His letters include fewer
than 15 references to kingdom. Fitting Paul into a kingdom
mold is more by hook than it is by the book. Furthermore,
Paul thinks more in terms of soteriology, justification, and
ecclesiology than he does kingdom. So, if we are to be fair to
Paul, we have to let Paul be Paul.

An even more fatal flaw resides in this approach: king-
dom means more than the “dynamic” reign of God at work in
Christ. The emphasis on “dynamic” leads me to think that we
evangelicals want “kingdom” to refer to the personal experi-
ence of conversion, so that it can fit with our evangelical Paul.
The roadblock here is insurmountable: kingdom for any and
every Jew in the first century had at least four components:

- a king (Jesus or God),
- a people (Israel),
- a territory (the land of Israel),
- and a law that governed
  the people (the Torah or
  law of Moses).

My conclusion: You can’t begin
at kingdom with Jesus
and simply cross the path and conclude that Jesus was, after
all, talking about justification.

What devastates this approach is that some of the central
themes of kingdom for Jesus—which are all found in those
crucial passages in Luke, such as the opening sermon in Naza-
reth (4:16–30)—are not found in Paul. Yes, Paul does care for
the poor—of Jerusalem, at least. But caring for the poor and
the outcast, and a revolutionary message about possessions—
well, they just don’t show up enough in Paul to lead one fairly
to conclude that Paul was essentially teaching the same thing
as Jesus. Kingdom and justification are not the same thing.
We have to find a better way to harmonize Jesus and Paul.

So others have started with Paul’s understanding
of justification and found a way to incorporate Jesus’
kingdom vision. A recent attempt by John Piper,
one of the leading lights in the revival of Reformed
theology, illustrates how this can be done. At one
pastor’s conference, Piper asked a simple question:
Did Jesus preach Paul’s gospel? The order—asking
if Jesus fits Paul!—might rankle many Bible readers
and historians, but such questions about the Bible
are not inappropriate.

To answer this question, Piper probed the one
and only time the word justified in a Pauline sense
that this man, rather than the other, went home justi-
fied before God.” Jesus is referring, of course, to the
tax collector and not to the Pharisee. We could add
Matthew 12:37, and perhaps Luke 10:29 and 16:15, but
we can’t find much in the Gospels that shows Jesus
thinking in terms of “justification by faith.” But Piper’s
skilled exegesis and theological persuasiveness led
him to conclude that Jesus did teach justification by faith,
perhaps even by double imputation.

Piper isn’t alone here. Long ago a German
Lutheran, Joachim Jeremias, connected Jesus’ term
Abba (Aramaic for “father”) to Paul’s theology of jus-
tification to show that this central message of the
New Testament was found in both Jesus and Paul.
But what weakens the attempt to make Paul fit into a kingdom vision weakens the attempt to make Jesus fit into Paul’s justification paradigm. What makes Paul tick at the level of language just doesn’t make Jesus tick. What makes Jesus tick in the kingdom doesn’t make Paul tick. We either have to let Jesus be Jesus, who barely talks about justification, and let Paul be Paul, who barely talks about kingdom, or we have to find another way.

I think there is another way, one that is fair to both and at the same time explains the inner unity at the level of gospel.

**THE GOSPEL WAY**

The problem with the two approaches—trying to make Paul fit Jesus’ kingdom vision, or trying to make Jesus fit Paul’s justification vision—comes down to this: each approach reduces the word gospel. For one group, it is equated with the kingdom. For the other, it is a synonym for justification by faith. To be sure, the word gospel encapsulates both kingdom and justification, but gospel operates on a foundation deeper than either. If we can grasp that, the supposed disjunction between Jesus and Paul disappears.

So where do we begin to get a New Testament understanding of “the gospel”? With Paul! Not with Romans 3 or Romans 5, however, but with 1 Corinthians 15:1–8:

Now, brothers, I want to remind you of the gospel I preached to you, which you received and on which you have taken your stand. By this gospel you are saved, if you hold firmly to the word I preached to you. Otherwise, you have believed in vain.

As we can see, here Paul is about to define gospel, and in fact, this is the only text in the New Testament that does so. What he says next is crucial:

For what I received I passed on to you as of first importance: that Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures, that he was buried, that he was raised on the third day according to the Scriptures, and that he appeared to Peter, and then to the Twelve. After that, he appeared to more than five hundred of the brothers at the same time, most of whom are still living, though some have fallen asleep. Then he appeared to James, then to all the apostles, and last of all he appeared to me also, as to one abnormally born.

A number of observations are in order. First, this is the gospel handed on to Paul (v. 3), which suggests it was the gospel the earliest apostles preached.

Second, the gospel saves people from their sins (v. 2–3).

Third, the essence of the gospel is the story of Jesus (vv. 3–8) as the completion of Israel’s story (v. 3). Both the word Christ (Messiah) and the phrase “according to the Scriptures” are central to how the apostles understood the word gospel.

Fourth, there’s not a word here about either kingdom or justification! Sure, you can probe “for our sins” until both themes bubble up to the surface, but we should at least let Paul be Paul when it comes to defining the gospel.

Added together, it means this: The gospel is first and foremost about Jesus. Or, to put it theologically, it’s about Christology. Behind or underneath both kingdom and justification is the gospel, and the gospel is the saving story of Jesus that completes Israel’s story. “To gospel” is to tell a story about Jesus as the Messiah, as the Lord, as the Son of God, as the Savior.

Thus, the question of whether the gospel of Jesus and the gospel of Paul are the same is radically reshaped. The question is not, “Does Paul preach the kingdom?” Nor is the question, “Does Jesus preach justification?”

Or if, like Piper, we ask if Jesus preached Paul’s gospel, then we are really asking, “Does Jesus preach Jesus?” Or, “What was Jesus’ teaching about Jesus?” Or, “Does he preach himself as the completion of Israel’s story?” Or, “Does Jesus preach his own life, death, burial, and resurrection?”

The entire New Testament comes together by answering all these questions. And the answer is Yes, Jesus preached himself as the completion of Israel’s story. Jesus preached the gospel (of Paul, of Peter, of John) because Jesus preached himself. Any reading of the Gospels, and any Gospel will do, leads constantly to this question that Jesus himself asked those who saw him and heard him: “Who am I?”

**WHAT JESUS THOUGHT OF JESUS**

Let’s start with Jesus’ teaching about the kingdom. His inaugural sermon in his hometown synagogue, at Nazareth, is a profoundly and properly egocentric statement about himself. We miss the essence of this passage if we reduce the story to kingdom only. Jesus reads from Isaiah 61:1–2, a passage about end-time kingdom redemption. But what we need to note is that Jesus thinks he is the agent of that redemption, that he is none other than the “anointed” one.

Another key kingdom text is Luke 7:20–23. John the Baptist asks whether or not Jesus is the “one who was to come.” Jesus answers by creating a clever and beautiful mosaic from Isaiah (29:18–19; 35:5–6; 61:1). The last line is arresting in its bold claim: “Blessed is the man who does not fall away on account of me” (emphasis mine). In other words, Jesus claims that he fulfills those Scriptures. “The story of Israel,” Jesus is saying, “comes to its completion in me.” Again, the message of Jesus is thoroughly egocentric.

This last text leads us to a set of Gospel texts that I call the “Who am I? Who are you?” texts. In these passages, Jesus and John the Baptist are in dialogue with one another and with others about who they are. I fear we skip over these passages because we know them too well. But let me suggest we ask a question about these Jesus and John conversations: What kind of people run around asking others who they are? And when
they ask such questions, do they assume that the answers are found in the persons and predictions of the Bible? Which of us says to another, “Who do you think I am? Do you think I’m the figure from Isaiah or the Messiah or Elijah or Moses or the Son of Man or the Davidic king?” None of us, and if we did, we’d be assigned to the fringes of society and perhaps institutionalized.

Jesus and John seemed to have carried on a conversation about who they were. And while John doesn’t seem always to be certain of who he is, Jesus always is certain about both who John is and who he is.


There is something here that courses through the pages of the Gospels: Jesus and John see themselves as the ones who complete Israel’s story, and their story is the saving story. This is exactly what Paul said the gospel was. Jesus may have spoken of kingdom, and Paul may have spoken of justification, but underneath both kingdom and justification is Christology: It is the story about Jesus, who is Messiah and Lord and who brings the kingdom and justifies sinners by faith.

Excuse me for piling on here, but only when we grasp the gospel as the saving story about Jesus that completes Israel’s story do we see the profound unity between Jesus and Paul. Both “gospeled” the same gospel because both told the story of Jesus.

For example, what kind of person says this: “Do not think that I have come to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I have not come to abolish them but to fulfill them” (Matt. 5:17). Jesus overtly declares that the entire Law and Prophets point to him and are fulfilled in him, which is to “gospel” exactly as does Paul, who says it this way: “according to the Scriptures.”

What kind of person chooses the symbolic number twelve, which connects to the formation of Israel as a twelve-tribe people and also to the hope for the revival of the ten lost tribes?

But there’s more: Jesus does not include himself because he perceives himself to be the Lord of the Twelve. Jesus, by appointing twelve, saw history coming to completion and saw himself as Lord of that completion. That is gospeling! And it’s the gospel of all the apostles.

What kind of person predicts more than once that he will not only die but also rise, as Jesus does in Mark 9:31?

What kind of person sums up his life as the Son of Man who came to give his life as a ransom for many, but does so in ways that combine Daniel 7’s Son of Man vision with Isaiah 42–53’s servant image? That is what we find when we combine Mark 10:45 with Mark 14:24.

What kind of person sees himself as the Passover, as Jesus does at the Last Supper? Here Jesus synthesizes profound images, makes sense of his own life through those images, and declares that he himself is the redeeming, forgiving agent for Israel. Again, we are right where Paul was in 1 Corinthians 15, when he said Jesus died “for our sins.” This is Paul’s gospel in the words and actions of Jesus.

My contention, then, is simple: If we begin with kingdom, we have to twist Paul into shape to fit a kingdom vision. If we being with justification, we have to twist Jesus into shape to fit justification. But if we begin with gospel, and if we understand gospel as Paul does in 1 Corinthians 15:1–11, then we will find what unifies Jesus and Paul—that both witness to Jesus as the center of God’s story. The gospel is the core of the Bible, and the gospel is the story of Jesus. Every time we talk about Jesus, we are gospeling. Telling others about Jesus leads to both the kingdom and justification—but only if we begin with Jesus.

Scot McKnight is the Karl A. Olsson Professor in Religious Studies at North Park University. He is the author of many books, most recently One Life: Jesus Calls, We Follow (Zondervan).

Go to ChristianBibleStudies.com for “Jesus vs. Paul,” a Bible study based on this article.
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